Tanuary 1966

Senate Look At CLA Sure To Irritate LBJ

By DAVID KRASLOW

The Los Angeles Times

WASHINGTON therto unpublicized move within the Senate Foreign Relations Committee to investigate the Central Intelligence Agency promises to precipitate an explosive dispute with President John-

Such an investigation of an agency that lives in the never-never land of spies and mysterious coups d'etat would be one of the most sensitive ever undertaken by Congress...

All moves so far have been made behind the scenes.

Committee Chairman William Fulbright (D-Ark.) already has placed on the committee's tentative agenda for this year a study of the CIA's impact on forleign policy, it was learned. This was discussed at a closed door meeting of the committee.

CIA Director William F. Raborn has been advised informally of what is afoot by Sen. Eugene J. McCarthy member and for years a prime advocate of closer congressional supervision of the agency's activities.

Little more than a handful in Congress are informed about the CIA in any detail. employs thousands in Washington and around the world and the hundreds of millions it spends annually for other government departments.

Range Wide 🖟

Its operations have run the gamut from the routine monitoring of foreign propaganda radio broadcasts to clandestine efforts to overthrow governments.

Sen. George D. Aiken of Vermont, the second-ranked. Republican on the committee, foresees "massive re-sistance" to its proposed in-



...W. F. RABORN

"The confrontation with the executive branch would be quite explosive" if the issue is pressed, Aiken said.

Aiken believes the CIA "doesn't get much supervision" from Congress now and thinks the vast intelligence apparatus should be watched more closely from Capitol Hill. But he says he (D-Minn.), a committee doesn't know how he will vote on authorizing the investigation.

> McCarthy soon will make the first open move when he places before the Senate a resolution authorizing the Foreign Relations Committee to investigate the CIA.

McCarthy already appears to have the strong support are hidden in appropriations: Morse (D-Ore.) and others on the 19-member commits tee. Some informed sources believe that a majority could withstand White House pressures and vote for the inqui-

> it does seem that advocates of a more formal method of congressional supervision of

Spot Uneasy

' ' on this issue," and funder if it evera des a la floor fest.

Munsfield went on record long ago in favor of closer congressional supervision of the CIA and he is the No. 3 Democrat on the Foreign Relations Committee. But he also is majority leader, and as such is expected to protect the administration's interests in the Senate.

Mansfield was not majority leader 10 years ago when he led the unsuccessful fight in the Senate to establish a joint congressional committee to oversee the CIA.

Now, publicly at least, Mansfield is playing it close to the vest.

"I wouldn't be in a position now to make a statement." Mansfield said when asked how he would vote.

Confirming that the inrestigation was discussed by the committee, Mansfield sharply on this question said that he has tried to "keep hands off this issue since becoming majority leader."

For a decade or more. presidents have been able to thwart those who feel Congress has done a dangerously inadequate job of auditing the CIA - more so with respect to policy than the agency's finances.

Only once, in fact, have the proponents succeeded in forcing a vote. That was int 1956 on the Mansfield resolution for creating a joint watchdog committee. Mansfield lost, 59 to 27.

Voting against Mansfield; was Lyndon B. Johnson, then the Senate Democratic leader. Voting for the joint committee was the late John F. Kennedy, then the junior senator from Massachusetts.

Mind Changes

Mr. Kennedy, however, changed his mind when he became president. "I am That is not yet clear, but well satisfied with the does seem that advocates present arrangements, he said in 1963.

Presidents, jealous the CIA are in a stronger their power over the CIA, position than ever before. and many on Capitol Hill have felt that those subcommittees adequately fulfill. and far too informal for overseeing such a vital part! of the government.

Moreover, they feel that with the CIA's obvious involvement in foreign policy. the foreign relations committees are best suited to perform the watchdog function.

The Fulbright Committee's controversial investigation of this nation's intervention in the Dominican' Republic revolution spring accounts, in part, ibr the increased agitation within the committee to take a good look at the CIA.

Fulbright and some other members became convinced not only that American policy failed in the Dominican Republic but that it failed because the President was misled by faulty advice and intelligence.

committee The and not along party lines.

Fulbright's condemnation administration's the Dominican policy also led to a substantial cooling in the personal relationship be tween him and the President.

Now Fulbright may be ready to square off again, against Mr. Johnson on an issue / which has his broad meaning not only for the CIA but for the Foreign Relations Committee itself